

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	ERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO):		
AIRS ID#: 1150137 DA	TE: <u>04/18/2008</u>	ARRIVE: ~10:07 am	DEPART: ~12:00 pm		
FACILITY NAME: ANDERSON ASPHALT & CONCRETE - YARD #2					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1851 Myrtle St				
	SARASOTA 3423	4-4820			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RICK STUBBS PHONE: (941)351-6586					
CONTACT NAME: R	tick Stubbs	PHONI	E:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/3/2005 / 6/3/2010					
	(effective date) (end date	e)			
PART I: INSPECTION	N COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check 🔽 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIAN		·	NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
IV COMPLIAN	en Minter Heil ee	JAN EMINEE STOTAL TEN	TO THE CONTRACT		
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emis	sions tests conducted during	this site visit according to EPA Me	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
2. Are emissions fro	om silos, weigh hoppers (batch	hers), and other enclosed storage a	nd conveying equipment		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Yes No					
4. Are emissions fro	om the weigh hopper (batcher	e) operation controlled by the silo duestions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If any	lust collector? (If answer		
skip 4.a) and 4.b)	and continue on to question	5.)			
b) During the vis	tible emissions test, was the b	patching rate representative of the n			
		operation are controlled by a dust c	eollector, which is separate		
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No					
conducted willie t	satering at a rate that is repre	sometive of the normal batching fa	are and duration Tes Two		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————					
Debbie Anders 04/18/2008					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	-			
	~ Summer 2008				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	-			
COMMENTS: INS 3. A Field Warning Notice was issued for performed during the INS3 scheduled inspection - no available					

duct tape. See attached photos.